## **Outstanding ATE Evaluation Awards**

# **Rating Instrument**

Expert judges will use this instrument to review all submissions.

All evaluations should strive to meet the <u>Program Evaluation Standards</u> of Utility, Feasibility, Propriety, Accuracy, and Accountability. These standards, while equally important, do not manifest equally in an evaluation's tangible products. Therefore, the selection criteria for the ATE Outstanding Evaluation Award focus on Utility and Accuracy, which can be reasonably judged based on the evaluation's products and stakeholders' feedback.

Judge's name		
Evaluation under review		
In what category in this evaluation competing?		
	Outstanding Evaluation of a Small, New to ATE Project	
	Outstanding Evaluation of an ATE Center	
	Outstanding Evaluation of an ATE Project	

Based on your review of this evaluation's application materials, rate its quality on the following dimensions:

For items 1 – 8, rate on a scale of Poor – Fair – Good – Very Good – Excellent.

#### UTILITY

- 1. The evaluation's usefulness to key stakeholders.
- 2. The evaluation's generation of new insights about the project.
- 3. Alignment between the evaluation's stated purpose (or questions) and its conclusions.
- 4. Overall clarity of the evaluation materials.

#### **ACCURACY**

- 5. Appropriateness of the indicators, data collection, and analysis for the evaluation's purpose (or questions).
- 6. Justification of the evaluation's conclusions based on the evidence presented.
- 7. Appropriateness of the evaluation conclusions for the project's cultural, institutional, and disciplinary context.
- 8. Transparency of the overall evaluation process.

# **OVERALL QUALITY**

9.	What, if any, aspects of this evaluation are especially good and why?
10.	What, if any, aspects of this evaluation are especially problematic and why?
11. To what extent would you endorse this evaluation as an example of a high-quality evaluation?	
	☐ I do not endorse it and believe it would be unethical to showcase it as a high-quality evaluation.
	☐ I do not endorse it.
	☐ I endorse it with reservations.
	☐ I endorse it without reservations.
	I endorse it without reservation and believe it could benefit the ATE community to showcase it as a high-quality evaluation.

### **COMMENTS**

Use this space to share any other feedback about this evaluation that is relevant for judging its quality. Include issues that should be discussed with other judges in order to reach consensus.